1	l.	
2	2	
3	3	
4	4 	
5	5	
6	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT	
7	DISTRICT OF NEVADA	
8	* * *	
9	MARIA M. MADERA,	
10	Plaintiff,	3:11-cv-0777-LRH-WGC
11	1 v.	ODDED
12	WELLS FARGO BANK, N.A.; et al.,	<u>ORDER</u>
13	Defendants.	
14	1	
15	Before the court are various motions of the defendants including: (1) motion to dismiss	
16	(Doc. #31¹); motion to strike (Doc. #38); (3) motion to strike (Doc. #39); (4) motion to dismiss	
17	(Doc. #40); and (5) motion to dismiss (Doc. #41). Plaintiff Maria M. Madera ("Madera") did no	
18	file an opposition to any of the motions.	
19	On January 4, 2012, the court dismissed Madera's complaint for failure to state a claim.	
20	Doc. #29. In response, plaintiff Madera, without leave of court, filed two additional amended	
21	complaints to which defendants have filed the present motions to strike. Madera did not oppose	
22	defendants' motions. Thus, pursuant to LR 7-2(d), her failure to file points and authorities in	
23	response to the motions to strike constitutes her consent to the court granting those motions.	
24	Accordingly, the court shall grant defendants' motions to strike and strike the two amended	
25	5	
26	5	

¹ Refers to the court's docket entry number.

complaints on file in this matter. As to defendants' remaining motions to dismiss, the court finds the pending motions moot and shall deny them accordingly. IT IS THEREFORE ORDERED that defendants' motions to dismiss (Doc. ##31, 40, 41) are DENIED as moot. IT IS FURTHER ORDERED that defendants' motions to strike (Doc. ##38, 39) are GRANTED. The clerk of court is directed to STRIKE plaintiff's amended complaints (Doc. ##36, 37). IT IS SO ORDERED. Elsihi DATED this 17th day of May, 2012. LARRY R. HICKS UNITED STATES DISTRICT JUDGE